





CDM Market Overview

Presentation by: Zhang Boyong Tsinghua University 11/23/2004



Main contents

Background
CDM Demand & Supply
Project types & Current buyers
Current price of CERs
China's CDM Rules



Climate Change

Reduce your GHG emissions

Background

Kyoto Mechanisms Clean Development Mechanism, CDM



certified emission reductions , CER



CDM Demand & Supply

Key insights

- Planned CER procurement -2012 ≈ 100 MtCO₂e; will likely increase Preferred project type: Renewables
- Price preference: indicatively 3-6.5 USD/tCO₂e (limited data); some willing to pay more for "quality CERs"
- Investment preference: neighbouring countries; large, well-known countries
- Internal Annex I resource competition CDM vs JI?

GHG Market Is Evolving

Australia:

US\$208 million in government tenders for GHG reductions

Canada:

Ratified Kyoto Protocol; Trading system under development; Provincial GHG requirements implemented

Japan:

Ratified Kyoto Protocol; GHG trading simulations in 2002; implementation of domestic measures

Kyoto Protocol:

Drives Demand & System Development

United Kingdom:

200-250 Trades under UK Emissions Trading Scheme

Denmark:

GHG cap in power sector, 2001-2003; Danish and UK allowances swapped

Netherlands: Purchased \$56 million in GHG reductions; 2 more tenders issued for JI and CDM-like reductions

Other EU Countries:

Planning to implement domestic trading programs in 2005 in line with EU plan

European Union:

Ratified Kyoto Protocol; GHG trading system operational in 2005

CDM's part of the cake

Country	Total planned CER purchase, 1st Kyoto commitment period (2008-12)
Austria	20-30 MtCO ₂ e (JI&CDM)
Canada	50 MtCO ₂ e (CDM, JI and ET)
Denmark	6,25MtCO ₂ e, but \approx € 120 million to be invested in JI/CDM -2007
EU	Unclear (depends on linking proposal and possible procurement initiative)
Italy	At least 60MtCO ₂ e (JI&CDM)
Japan	At least 95MtCO ₂ e (JI&CDM)
Netherlands	67MtCO ₂ e

Payment and price preferences

Country	Preferred price range and payment terms
Austria	Market price. Upfront payment possible.
Denmark	Price preferences not decided. Max price for domestic reductions: 18USD. Payment on delivery. Tender information not yet made public.
Finland	About €3 (for the CDM projects in its portfolio). Upfront payment possible
Germany	About €5. Payment terms currently discussed.
Japan	None, but detailed examination of project agreements
Netherlands	6.7 USD is max price. May pay more for renewable energy projects. Payment on CER issuance.
Sweden	5 USD. May pay more for sustainable projects. Upfront payment possible.

Project types preferences

Country	Preferred project types
Austria	Energy efficiency, renewable energy. Not sinks.
Canada	No preferences so far. Companies decide.
Denmark	Renewable energy, fuel switch, cogeneration.
EU	Current status of "linking proposal": sinks and large hydro in danger.
Italy	Renewables (biomass), energy efficiency, landfill gas for power.
Japan	No official policy but emphasis on Japanese new renewables/energy efficiency technology.
Netherlands	Renewable energy, biomass, landfill gas; no sinks in CERUPT tender.

MoUs

Country	MoUs – countries (MtCO ₂ e)
Austria	Discussions with Bosnia & Herzegovina
Canada	Costa Rica, Colombia, Chile, Nicaragua, Tunisia, South Korea
Denmark	Malaysia; discussions with China, South Africa
Finland	China (general agreement), Costa Rica, El Salvador, Nicaragua, India
France	Colombia and Morocco
Germany	None (capacity building initiatives: East Asia incl. India, Indonesia, China; Chile; Peru; Colombia)
Italy	Algeria, China, Cuba, Cyprus, Egypt, El Salvador, Israel, Moldavia, Morocco, Serbia
Japan	None (close co-operation with ASEAN, China)
Netherlands	Colombia (25 MtCO ₂ e), Costa Rica (30 MtCO ₂ e), El Salvador (5 MtCO ₂ e), Panama (20 MtCO ₂ e), Uruguay (5 MtCO ₂ e), Bolivia (10 MtCO ₂ e), Nicaragua (5 MtCO ₂ e), Guatemala, Honduras. SE Asia (Indonesia, Philippines)?

Characteristics of expected CDM Market* Asia

Volume : 100 - 200 Mt C year Export revenues: 1500 - 5000 MUS\$ 500 - 2500 M Profit : US \$ Expected beneficiaries China, India Indonesia, Philippines, Bangladesh and Pakistan Without USA



Project types & Current buyers of CERs



Project areas

- 1. Renewable energy
 - (1) Hydropower
 - (2) Wind energy
 - (3) Solar energy
 - (4) Biomass energy
- 2. Energy efficiency
 - (1) Industrial process
 - (2) Non-energy consumer
 - (3) Energy substitution
 - (4) Others
- 3. Other areas
 - (1) Waste Management
 - (2) Fuel Switching
 - (3) Fugitive

Project types

System

Scope

Small-scale/Non-grid

Solar home systems

Small wind power systems & hybrid solar/wind/diesel systems that have no associated distribution network

Pico- and micro-hydropower

Medium-scale/Isolatedgrid/Grid-connected

Mini-hydropower

Biomass gasifiers & cogeneration systems

Wind/diesel/solar hybrids & other medium-scale renewable energy systems in the some range

Large-scale/Grid-connected All (renewable) energy systems with capacity greater than 15 MW

The Way of participating in the GHG ER plan

Direct Way : The government or Companies directly invest and operate one or more than one project which satisfies the CDM
Indirect Way : The government or companies invest into the fund and support the CDM or JI ER plans indirectly

Current Main buyers of CERs

- Multilateral FundsGovernment Funds
 - Prototype Carbon Fund (US\$ 180 million)
 - Community Development Carbon Fund (US\$ 100 million)
 - World Bank Bio-Carbon Fund (US\$ 100 million)
- Government Funds
 - Dutch Government C-ERUPT Program
 - Finnish CDM/JI Pilot Program (€20 million)

Sweden International Climate Investment Program – CDM

Austria JI/CDM Procurement Program
Italian CDM fund

Current Main buyers of CERs

- Through Commercial/Development Banks
 - Rabo Bank (Dutch Government)
 - Japanese Bank of Industrial Cooperation (Japan CDM Fund - 4 billion yen)
 - Development Bank of Japan (Japan CDM Fund 3 billion yen
 - German KfW Bank group (10 million €)
- Through Multilateral Institutions
 - World Bank (The Netherlands Clean Development Facility -€70 million)
 - IFC (IFC-Netherlands Carbon Facility € 44 million)
- Through Bilateral Transactions (signed MOUs)
 - Canadian Government with Colombia and Chile
 - Dutch Government with Bolivia, Colombia,
 - Uruguay and Ecuador
 - Danish CDM Portfolio

Why should companies voluntarily participate in CDM markets?

Corporate Reasons for Emission Trading

Strengthens the link between business processes and environmental practices... the cost of pollution and the financial rewards resulting from abatement

- Engages market's ability to efficiently allocate resources
- Extracts the unanticipated

Distribution of projects by size 70.0 60.0 • # of Projects



Forecasted global carbon emissions



23

Current price of CERs

Current price of CERs

PCF

d US\$3.0-3.5

premium of US\$0.5 per ton of CO2e for projects with developmental components (Colombia Wind Farm)

CERUPT

renewable energy – €5.5
biomass energy - €4.4
energy efficiency - €4.4
fuel switch and methane - €3.3
average price - €4.73

Finnish Government4

small-scale - €2.47-3.2

Why are prices low?

Considerable supply of PINs to few, dominant buyers that may co-ordinate actions Demand for *potential* CERs limited due to uncertainty and risk Will CDM host country approve? Will host country impose restrictions making project economically unviable? Will CDM EB approve methodology & project? Will we fail to implement the project? Dominant CDM buyers have probably had important influence on carbon prices so far

CDM project risks

- Country/political risk : Sponsor risk
 Technical risk
 Environmental risk
 Fuel/feedstock risk
 Financial/legal risk
 Construction risk
 Operation risk
- New risks associated with:
 - project preparation
 - project approval
 - baseline & MVP validation
 - monitoring & verification of certified emissions
- Additional risks
 - lack of firm market, price, sales & trade mechanisms/history for CERs
 - unfamiliarity of CDM by financiers who would need to count CERs as part of finance plan

Future price drivers: Scenarios

opward:

- First CERs issued (only Kyoto risk left for most buyers)
- Host country and CDM EB approval standardised
- CER price stratification
- Early CER supply limited due to barriers
 Accumulated 3-3.5 MtCO₂e to enter the market within 2005
- Linking Directive approved
 - Possible to convert CERs and ERUs to EU allowance units
 - No significant barriers to CER supply
- EU ETS Allocation Plans concluded; many actors short
- Russian ratification, Kyoto entry into force

Downward:

- **\bullet** CDM awareness \rightarrow no. of low-cost projects \uparrow
- Sinks modalities and guidelines clarified
- Host country skepticism towards pure mitigation projects
 complicated approval processes

Price competition AAUs v CERs

Significant contributions to climate change mitigation from China

Dramatic improvement in carbon intensity Emissions growth rate now just half its economic

growth rate

Most significant, but unwanted ,huge under consumption of energy

China's CDM Rules

Interim Measures for Operation and Management of Clean Development Mechanism Projects in China



General Rules

 Priorities are energy efficiency improvement, development and utilization of new and renewable energy, as well as recovery and utilization of methane and coal bed methane

Admission Requirements

Project developer shall be wholly Chinaowner or China-controlled enterprises.

Other detail

Benefits from the transfer of CERs will be owned jointly by the Chinese Government and project developer, with allocation ratio to be determined by the Chinese Government. Before the determination , the benefits will be owned by project developer

 These rules take effect as of June 30, 2004



